arXiv:1611.02486v1 [math.RT] 8 Nov 2016

On a perfect isometry between principal p-blocks of finite groups with cyclic p-hyperfocal subgroups

Hiroshi HORIMOTO and Atumi WATANABE

Abstract

Let G be a finite group with a Sylow p-subgroup P. We prove that the principal p-blocks of G and $N_G(P)$ are perfectly isometric under the assumption G has a cyclic p-hyperfocal subgroup.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let G be a finite group and p be a prime number. We denote by $O^p(G)$ the subgroup of G generated by the set $G_{p'}$ of p-regular elements of G. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G and set

$$\tilde{P} = \langle\ [\ T,\ O^p(N_G(T))\ ]\ |\ T \leq P\ \rangle.$$

We will call $\tilde{P}$ a p-hyperfocal subgroup of G. By Puig [16] and [17],

$$\tilde{P} = P \cap O^p(G)$$

(see [2], Lemma 2.2 or [6], Theorem 1.33 for proofs). Denoting by $b(G)$ the principal block of G, $\tilde{P}$ is a hyperfocal subgroup of $b(G)$ in the sense of Puig ([16] and [17]). In this paper we prove the following theorem. This study is motivated by Rouquier [18], A.2.

Theorem 1 *If $\tilde{P}$ is cyclic, then the principal blocks $b(G)$, $b(N_G(\tilde{P}))$ and $b(N_G(P))$ are perfectly isometric in the sense of Broué [4].*

By [19], if p is odd and P is metacyclic, then $\tilde{P}$ is cyclic. If $p = 3$ and $G = SL(2, 2^{3^n}) \rtimes C_3$, then P is isomorphic to the metacylic 3-group $M_{(n+1)+1}(3)$ (cf. [12], (4.3)).

Now the above theorem is a generalization of [21], Proposition 6. If $\tilde{P} = 1$, then G is p-nilpotent, hence the above is clear. We will determine the ordinary irreducible characters in $b(G)$ (Propositions 5 in §2 below), and the generalized decomposition numbers associated with $b(G)$ (Propositions 6 and 7 in §3 below), and then we obtain Theorem 1 from Theorem 2 below.

We refer [13], [20] and [9] for the notation and terminology. Let H be a subgroup of G. For $x \in G$, we denote by x^H the H-conjugacy class containing x. For a character χ of G, the restriction of χ to H is denoted by $\chi{\downarrow_H}$. For a character ζ of H, the induced character ζ to G is denoted by $\zeta{\uparrow_H^G}$. For characters χ, χ' of G, the innner product of χ and χ' is denoted by (χ, χ'). For a complex number α we denote by $\bar{\alpha}$ the complex conjugate, and for a character χ of G we denote by $\bar{\chi}$ the contragredient character.

Let $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, F)$ be a p-modular system such that $\mathcal{K}$ contains the field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[|G|]{1})$. For a ($p$-)block b of G, we denote by $\mathrm{Irr}(b)$ the set of ordinary irreducible characters belonging to b and $\mathrm{IBr}(b)$ the set of irreducible Brauer characters belonging to b. Here b denotes a block

idempotent of $\mathcal{O}G$. We set $k(b) = |\mathrm{Irr}(b)|$, the cardinality of $\mathrm{Irr}(b)$, and $l(b) = |\mathrm{IBr}(b)|$. Also we set $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}(G,b) = \sum_{\chi\in\mathrm{Irr}(b)} \boldsymbol{Z}\chi$ and we denote by $b(G)$ the principal block of G. We denote by 1_G the trivial irreducible character and the trivial Brauer character of G.

Let $(\pi, \mathbf{b})$ be a b-Brauer element, that is, $\mathbf{b}$ is a block of $C_G(\pi)$ associated with b. For $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(b)$, the class function $\chi^{(\pi,\mathbf{b})}$ of G is defined as follows: $\chi^{(\pi,\mathbf{b})}$ vanishes outside of the p-section of G containing π and $\chi^{(\pi,\mathbf{b})}(\pi\rho) = \chi(\pi\rho\mathbf{b})$ for $\rho \in C_G(\pi)_{p'}$. Let $Bs(\mathbf{b}) = \{\varphi_j^{(\pi)} \mid 1 \le j \le l(\mathbf{b})\}$ be a basic set for $\mathbf{b}$ in the sense of Brauer [1], that is, $Bs(\mathbf{b})$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-basis of the $\boldsymbol{Z}$-module

$$\bigoplus_{\varphi\in\mathrm{IBr}(\mathbf{b})} \boldsymbol{Z}\varphi.$$

Then there exist $d^{\pi}_{\chi\varphi_j^{(\pi)}} \in \mathcal{O}$, what we call the generalized decomposition numbers with respect to $Bs(\mathbf{b})$, which satisfy

$$\chi^{(\pi,\mathbf{b})}(\pi\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{l(\mathbf{b})} d^{\pi}_{\chi\varphi_j^{(\pi)}}\varphi_j^{(\pi)}(\rho) \quad (\forall \rho \in C_G(\pi)_{p'}).$$

Set

$$D^{(\pi,\mathbf{b})} = \left(d^{\pi}_{\chi\varphi_j^{(\pi)}}\right)_{\chi\in\mathrm{Irr}(b),\varphi_j^{(\pi)}\in Bs(\mathbf{b})}.$$

By [13], Theorem 5.4.11, the matrix ${}^t(D^{(\pi,\mathbf{b})})\overline{D^{(\pi,\mathbf{b})}}$ is similar to the Cartan matrix of $\mathbf{b}$ w.r.t. the basic set $Bs(\mathbf{b})$, where $\overline{D^{(\pi,\mathbf{b})}}$ is the complex conjugate matrix of $D^{(\pi,\mathbf{b})}$ (see [14], Lemma 7.5). The following plays an important role in this paper.

Theorem 2 *Let b (resp. b') be a block of a finite group G (resp. G') such that b and b' satisfy* (i) - (iv) *below.*

(i) *b and b' has a common defect group P. Let (P, b_P) (resp. (P, b'_P)) be a maximal b resp. b')-Brauer pair,*

(ii) *there exists $\Pi \subseteq P$ such that $\{(\pi, b_\pi) \in (P, b_P) \mid \pi \in \Pi\}$ (resp. $\{(\pi, b'_\pi) \in (P, b'_P) \mid \pi \in \Pi\}$) is a set of representatives for the G (resp. G')-conjugacy classes of b (resp. b')- Brauer elements,*

(iii) *$l(b_\pi) = l(b'_\pi)$ for any $\pi \in \Pi$,*

(iv) *For any $\pi \in \Pi \setminus \{1\}$, there exist basic set $Bs(b_\pi) = \{\varphi_j^{(\pi)} \mid j = 1,\ 2, \cdots, l(b_\pi)\}$ for b_π and $Bs(b'_\pi) = \{{\varphi'_j}^{(\pi)} \mid j = 1,\ 2, \cdots, l(b'_\pi)\}$ for b'_π such that*

$$d^{\pi}_{\chi_i\varphi_j^{(\pi)}} = \varepsilon_i d^{\pi}_{\chi'_i{\varphi'_j}^{(\pi)}},\ \varepsilon_i = \pm 1\ (1 \le i \le k(b),\ 1 \le j \le l(b_\pi)) \tag{1}$$

where $\mathrm{Irr}(b) = \{\chi_i \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, k(b)\}$ and $\mathrm{Irr}(b') = \{\chi'_i \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, k(b')\}$. Then

$$\chi'_i \in R_{\mathcal{K}}(G', b') \mapsto \varepsilon_i\chi_i \in R_{\mathcal{K}}(G, b)$$

is a perfect isometry from b' to b in the sense of Broué [4].

Remark 1 *By the condition (ii) and (iii), $k(b) = k(b')$.*

Proof. (Separation condition) Let a' be a p-element of G' and let $\Pi'_{a'}$ be the set of elements π of Π which is G'-conjugate to a'. For $\pi \in \Pi'_{a'}$, let $c'_{\pi a'} \in G'$ be such that ${a'}^{c'_{\pi a'}} = (a')^{c'_{\pi a'}} = \pi$. Similarly for a p-element a of G, we define Π_a and $c_{\pi a}$ for $\pi \in \Pi_a$.

Now for $\rho \in G_{p'}$ and $\rho' \in C_{G'}(a')_{p'}$, from (1) and by the assumption and [13], Theorem 5.4.11 for basic sets,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \varepsilon_i \chi_i(\rho)\overline{\chi_i'(a'\rho')} = \sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \chi_i(\rho) \left(\sum_{\pi \in \Pi'_{a'}} \sum_{j=1}^{l(b_\pi)} \overline{d^x_{\chi_i \varphi_j^{(\pi)}}} \overline{\varphi'^{(\pi)}_j(\rho'^{c'_{\pi a'}})} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in \Pi'_{a'}} \sum_{j=1}^{l(b_\pi)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \chi_i(\rho) \overline{d^\pi_{\chi_i \varphi_j^{(\pi)}}} \right) \overline{\varphi_j'^{(\pi)}(\rho'^{c'_{\pi a'}})} = 0.$$

(see [14], Lemma 7.5) Similarly for a p-singular element $x \in G$ and $y' \in G'_{p'}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \varepsilon_i \chi_i(x)\overline{\chi_i'(y')} = 0$. (Integrality condition) Let $\rho \in G_{p'}$ and $\rho' \in G'_{p'}$. By the above, $\sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \varepsilon_i \overline{\chi_i'(\rho')}\chi_i$ vanishes on the p-singular elements of G. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \varepsilon_i \chi_i(\rho)\overline{\chi_i'(\rho')}$ is divisible by $|C_G(\rho)|$ in $\mathcal{O}$ by [13], Theorems 3.6.10 and 3.6.13. Similarly $\sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \varepsilon_i \chi_i(\rho)\overline{\chi_i'(\rho')}$ is divisible by $|C_{G'}(\rho')|$. Let a (resp. a') be a p-element of G (resp. G'). For $\rho \in C_G(a)_{p'}$ and $\rho' \in C_{G'}(a')_{p'}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \varepsilon_i \chi_i(a\rho)\overline{\chi_i'(a'\rho')}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \left(\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_a} \sum_{j=1}^{l(b_\pi)} \varepsilon_i d^\pi_{\chi_i \varphi_j^{(\pi)}} \varphi_j^{(\pi)}(\rho^{c_{\pi a}}) \right) \left(\sum_{\pi' \in \Pi'_{a'}} \sum_{k=1}^{l(b_{\pi'})} \overline{d^{\pi'}_{\chi_i' \varphi_k'^{(\pi')}}} \overline{\varphi_k'^{(\pi')}(\rho'^{c'_{\pi' a'}})} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_a} \sum_{\pi' \in \Pi'_{a'}} \sum_{k=1}^{l(b_{\pi'})} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{l(b_\pi)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \varepsilon_i d^\pi_{\chi_i \varphi_j^{(\pi)}} \overline{d^{\pi'}_{\chi_i' \varphi_k'^{(\pi')}}} \right) \varphi_j^{(\pi)}(\rho^{c_{\pi a}}) \right) \overline{\varphi_k'^{(\pi')}(\rho'^{c'_{\pi' a'}})}.$$

Hence from (1) and by [13], Theorem 5.4.11 for basic sets (see [14], Lemma 7.5)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \varepsilon_i \chi_i(a\rho)\overline{\chi_i'(a'\rho')}$$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_a \cap \Pi'_{a'}} \sum_{k=1}^{l(b_\pi)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{l(b_\pi)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} d^\pi_{\chi_i \varphi_j^{(\pi)}} \overline{d^\pi_{\chi_i \varphi_k^{(\pi)}}} \right) \varphi_j^{(\pi)}(\rho^{c_{\pi a}}) \right) \overline{\varphi_k'^{(\pi)}(\rho'^{c'_{\pi a'}})}$$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_a \cap \Pi'_{a'}} \sum_{k=1}^{l(b_\pi)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{l(b_\pi)} c_{\varphi_j^{(\pi)} \varphi_k^{(\pi)}} \varphi_j^{(\pi)}(\rho^{c_{\pi a}}) \right) \overline{\varphi_k'^{(\pi)}(\rho'^{c'_{\pi a'}})} \Big),$$

here $c_{\varphi_j^{(\pi)} \varphi_k^{(\pi)}}$ is a Cartan integer w.r.t. the basic set $Bs(b_\pi)$ in (iv). Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \varepsilon_i \chi_i(a\rho)\overline{\chi_i'(a'\rho')}$ is divisible by $|C_G(a\rho)|$ in $\mathcal{O}$, because $\sum_{j=1}^{l(b_\pi)} c_{\varphi_j^{(\pi)} \varphi_k^{(\pi)}} \varphi_j^{(\pi)}(\rho^{c_{\pi a}})$ is divisible by $|C_G(\pi \rho^{c_{\pi a}})| =$

$|C_G(a\rho)|$ in $\mathcal{O}$. Similarly $\sum_{i=1}^{k(b)} \varepsilon_i \chi_i(a\rho)\overline{\chi_i'(a'\rho')}$ is by $|C_{G'}(a'\rho')|$ in $\mathcal{O}$. This completes the proof. ■

Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G and denote by $\mathcal{F}_P(G)$ the Frobenius category of G. The objects of $\mathcal{F}_P(G)$ are subgroups of P. For $S,\ T \leq P$,

$$\mathrm{mor}_{\mathcal{F}_P(G)}(S,\ T) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(S,\ T) \mid \varphi = c_{x|_S}\ (\exists x \in G)\},$$

where c_x is the inner automorphism of G induced by x.

Proposition 1 ([15]) *If $\tilde{P}$ is abelian, then $\mathcal{F}_P(G) = \mathcal{F}_P(N_G(\tilde{P}))$.*

Proof. (Naoki Chigira) $\tilde{P} = P \cap O^p(G)$ is strongly closed in P in the sense of [10], §16. Hence the proposition follows from [10], Proposition 16.20. ■

Proposition 2 ([22], Theorem 3) *If $\tilde{P}$ is cyclic, then $\mathcal{F}_P(G) = \mathcal{F}_P(N_G(P))$.*

Proof. Set $\tilde{K} = O_{p'}(C_G(\tilde{P})) = O_{p'}(C_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{P})) = C_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{P})_{p'}$. Then $C_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{P}) = \tilde{K} \times \tilde{P}$, and $\tilde{K}$ is normal in $N_G(\tilde{P})$. Since $C_P(\tilde{P})$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $C_G(\tilde{P})$, $C_G(\tilde{P}) = C_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{P})C_P(\tilde{P}) = \tilde{K}C_P(\tilde{P})$, by Proposition 1,

$$N_G(\tilde{P}) = C_G(\tilde{P})N_G(P) = \tilde{K}N_G(P). \tag{2}$$

This implies

$$\mathcal{F}_P(N_G(\tilde{P})) = \mathcal{F}_P(N_G(P)).$$

Note that $[P, K] \cap P = 1$. This and Proposition 1 complete the proof. ■

Remark 2 *The above two propositions are generalized in [22]) as follows. Let b be a block (not necessarily the principal block) of G with a maximal b-Brauer pair (P, b_P) and a hyperfocal subgroup $\tilde{P}$. Let $b' \in Bl(N_G(P))$ be the Brauer correspondent of b. If $\tilde{P}$ is cyclic, then the Brauer categories $\mathcal{F}_{(P,b_P)}(G, b)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{(P,b_P)}(N_G(P), b')$ are same.*

Set $K = O_{p'}(C_G(P))$ and let T be a p-complement of $N_G(P)$. Then $K = T \cap C_G(P)$. From (2), $\tilde{T} := \tilde{K}T$ is a p-complement of $N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{P})$. Then

$$\tilde{T}/\tilde{K} \cong T/K \tag{3}$$

because $T \cap C_G(\tilde{P}) \subseteq C_G(P)$.

In the rest of this paper G is a finite group and P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G, $\tilde{G} = O^p(G), \tilde{P} = P \cap O^p(G)$ and $N = N_G(P)$. Moreover $e = |E|$, the inertial index of $b(G)$. We assume that $\tilde{P}$ is a cyclic group generated by x and of order p^n. From (3), e is the inertial index of $b(\tilde{G})$ and hence e divides $p - 1$.

If $e = 1$, then G is p-nilpotent. Hence we may assume $e \neq 1$ and hence $p \neq 2$ in a proof of Theorem 1. For a p-element $u \in G$, we denote by e_u the inertial index of $b(C_G(u))$ and we call it the inertial index of u.

2 Irreducible characters in $b(G)$

Lemma 1 *Under our assumption, let $u \in P$. Then $P_u = C_P(u)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $C_G(u)$ and $e_u = |C_N(u) : P_u C_N(P_u)|$.*

Proof. There exists $a \in G$ such that $P \cap C_G(u^a)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $C_G(u^a)$. Then $u^a \in P \cap C_G(u^a) \subseteq P$. By Proposition 2, there exists $n \in N$ such that $u^a = u^n$. Since $P \cap C_G(u)^n$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $C_G(u)^n$, $P_u = P \cap C_G(u) = P^{n^{-1}} \cap C_G(u)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $C_G(u)$.

By Proposition 2, we have $N_G(P_u) = C_G(P_u)(N_G(P_u) \cap N)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} C_G(u) \cap N_G(P_u) &= C_G(P_u)(C_G(u) \cap N_G(P_u) \cap N) \\ &= C_G(P_u)(C_G(u) \cap N). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have $C_G(u) \cap C_G(P_u)P_u = C_G(P_u)P_u$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e_u &= |C_G(u) \cap N_G(P_u) : (C_G(u) \cap C_G(P_u))P_u| \\ &= |C_G(P_u)(C_G(u) \cap N) : C_G(P_u)P_u| \\ &= |C_G(u) \cap N : C_G(u) \cap N \cap C_G(P_u)P_u| \\ &= |C_N(u) : N \cap C_G(P_u)P_u| \\ &= |C_N(u) : C_N(P_u)P_u|. \end{aligned}$$

■

The following is special case of [22] Lemma 4 (i). For the self-containedness we state it with a proof because this is crucial.

Lemma 2 ([22] Lemma 4 (i)) *Suppose that $\tilde{P} \neq 1$. Let T be a p-complement of N and let X be a subgroup of T containing $O_{p'}(C_G(P))$ properly. Then $P = \tilde{P} \rtimes C_P(X)$. In particular $C_P(X) = C_P(T)$ and $G = \tilde{G} \rtimes C_P(T)$.*

Proof. Let $u \in P$. Since $\tilde{P}$ is a p-hyperfocal subgroup of G, $[u, X] \subseteq [P, X] \subseteq \tilde{P}$. Hence $\tilde{P}u$ is X-invariant. On the other hand $\tilde{P}$ acts transitively on $u\tilde{P}u$ by right multiplication. By using a lemma of Glauberman ([11], 13.8) there is an element of $\tilde{P}u$ fixed by X. Since $\tilde{P}$ is cyclic, from (2) and (3), $X/O_{p'}(C_G(P))$ acts on $\tilde{P}\backslash\{1\}$ fixed-point freely, an element of $\tilde{P}u$ fixed by X is unique. This implies $P = \tilde{P} \rtimes C_P(X)$. Since $G = \tilde{G}P$, we have $G = \tilde{G} \rtimes C_P(T)$. ■

Lemma 3 *For $u \in P$, $e_u = e$ or $e_u = 1$. If $e_u = e$, then $C_N(u) = P_u T$ for some p-complement of N and $C_{\tilde{P}}(u)$ is a p-hyperfocal subgroup of $C_G(u)$. If $e_u = 1$, then $C_N(u) = P_u O_{p'}(C_G(P))$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, $P_u = C_P(u)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $C_G(u)$. By using the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, we can see $C_N(u) = P_u C_T(u)$ and $C_N(P_u) = Z(P_u)C_T(P_u)$ for some p-complement T of N. Hence

$$e_u = |C_N(u) : P_u C_N(P_u)| = |C_T(u) : C_T(P_u)|.$$

Now suppose that $e_u \neq 1$. Then $O_{p'}(C_G(P)) \subseteq C_T(P_u) \neq C_T(u)$. So by applying Lemma 3 for $X = C_T(u)$, $u \in C_P(T)$ and hence $C_N(u) = P_u T$. (So we have $u^N = u^P$.) Since

$\langle x^{p^{n-1}} \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of P of order p, $\langle x^{p^{n-1}} \rangle \subseteq C_P(u) = P_u$. ¿From (2) and (3), $C_T(x^{p^{n-1}}) = O_{p'}(C_G(P))$, hence $C_T(P_u) = O_{p'}(C_G(P))$. Therefore we see $e_u = e$. Moreover $C_{\tilde{P}}(u)$ is a p-hyperfocal subgroup of $C_G(u)$ because $[C_T(u), C_{\tilde{P}}(u)] = C_{\tilde{P}}(u)$.

Finally suppose $e_u = 1$. Then $O_{p'}(C_G(P)) = C_T(u)$ by the argument as in the case $e_u \neq 1$, and hence $C_N(u) = P_u O_{p'}(C_G(P))$. (Then we see $|u^N| = e|u^P|$.) ■

Let $\Pi \subseteq P$ be a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of p-elements of G. Let η be a G-stable generalized character of P, that is, if u and u' in P are G-conjugate, then $\eta(u) = \eta(u')$. By a theorem of Brauer on generalized characters ([13], Theorem 3.4.2) and the second and third main theorem on principal blocks, if $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(b(G))$ then

$$\chi * \eta = \sum_{u \in \Pi} \eta(u) \chi^{(u, b(C_G(u)))}$$

is a generalized character belonging to $b(G)$ (c.f. [3]).

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ be a set of representatives for the E-conjugacy classes of non-trivial linear characters of $\tilde{P}$. For each $\mu \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$, we set

$$\eta_\mu = \sum_{a \in E} \mu^a = \sum_{a \in N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{P})/C_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{P})} \mu^a.$$

This is $\tilde{G}$-stable.

Lemma 4 *Suppose that a finite group G has a cyclic Sylow p-subgroup P. Then the trivial character 1_G is not an exceptional character.*

Proof. We use [8], Theorem 68.1 (cf. [7]). Set $e = |N_G(P) : C_G(P)|$ and $m = \frac{|P|-1}{e}$. Then $e|(p-1)$. If $m = 1$, then any irreducible character in $b(G)$ is not exceptional. So we may assume $m \neq 1$. Assume that 1_G is exceptional. For some non-trivial linear character μ of P,

$$1 = 1_G(\pi) = \varepsilon \sum_{x \in N_G(P)/C_G(P)} \mu(\pi^x) \ (\forall \pi \in P \backslash \{1\}),$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Then we have $\varepsilon m = \sum_{\pi \in P\backslash\{1\}} \mu(\pi) = -1$. This is a contradiction. ■

Lemma 5 (Dade [7]) $\mathrm{Irr}(b(\tilde{G})) = \{\tilde{\chi}_1 = 1_{\tilde{G}}, \tilde{\chi}_2, \cdots, \tilde{\chi}_e, \tilde{\chi}_\mu \ (\mu \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}})\}$, *where*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\chi}_j(u\rho) = \varepsilon_j = \pm 1 \ (1 \leq j \leq e), \\ \tilde{\chi}_\mu(u\rho) = \varepsilon \eta_\mu(u) \ (\varepsilon = \pm 1) \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

for $u(\neq 1) \in \tilde{P}$ and $\rho \in (C_{\tilde{G}}(u))_{p'}$. Moreover if P is normal in G, then $\varepsilon_j = 1$ and $\varepsilon = 1$.

Proof. Since $b(\tilde{G})$ has a cyclic defect group $\tilde{P}$, the lemma follows by Lemma 4 and [8], Theorem 68.1. ■

Lemma 6 *For $\mu \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$, we have* $1_{\tilde{G}} * \eta_\mu = (e-1)1_{\tilde{G}} - \sum_{i=2}^{e} \varepsilon_i \tilde{\chi}_i + \varepsilon \tilde{\chi}_\mu$.

Proof. Let $u \in \tilde{P}\backslash\{1\}$ and $\rho \in C_{\tilde{G}}(u)_{p'}$. ¿From (4), we have

$$(1_{\tilde{G}} * \eta_\mu)(u\rho) = \eta_\mu(u),$$

$$((e-1)1_{\tilde{G}} - \sum_{i=2}^{e} \varepsilon_i \tilde{\chi}_i + \varepsilon\tilde{\chi}_\mu)(u\rho) = \eta_\mu(u).$$

Therefore $1_{\tilde{G}} * \eta_\mu = (e-1)1_{\tilde{G}} - \sum_{i=2}^{e} \varepsilon_i \tilde{\chi}_i + \varepsilon\tilde{\chi}_\mu$ on p-singular elements of $\tilde{G}$.

On the other hand for $\tau \in \tilde{G}_{p'}$, by [13], Theorem 5.4.5, the equation (4) and [8], Theorem 68.1, (6),

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{e} \tilde{\chi}_i(u)\tilde{\chi}_i(\tau) + \sum_{\mu\in\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} \tilde{\chi}_\mu(u)\tilde{\chi}_\mu(\tau)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{e} \varepsilon_i \tilde{\chi}_i(\tau) + \big(\varepsilon \sum_{\nu\in\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} \eta_\nu(u)\big)\tilde{\chi}_\mu(\tau)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{e} \varepsilon_i \tilde{\chi}_i(\tau) - \varepsilon\tilde{\chi}_\mu(\tau).$$

From this

$$(1 * \eta_\mu)(\tau) = e = \big((e-1)1_{\tilde{G}} - \sum_{i=2}^{e} \varepsilon_i \tilde{\chi}_i(\tau) + \varepsilon\tilde{\chi}_\mu\big)(\tau).$$

This completes the proof. ■

We denote by $\mathrm{Irr}_P(\tilde{P})$ the set of P-invariant linear characters of $\tilde{P}$. Note that if $\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}_P(\tilde{P})$ then $\nu^a \in \mathrm{Irr}_P(\tilde{P})$ for $a \in N$. Let $\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}_P(\tilde{P})$. Then ν is trivial on $[\tilde{P}, P]$. Since $P/[\tilde{P}, P] = (\tilde{P}/[\tilde{P}, P]) \times (C_P(T)[\tilde{P}, P]/[\tilde{P}, P])$ by Lemma 2, there is a unique extension $\hat{\nu}$ of ν to P such that $C_P(T) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\ \hat{\nu}$, where T is a p-complement of N. In fact $C_P(U) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\ \hat{\nu}$ for any p-complement U of N. We call $\hat{\nu}$ the *canonical extension* of ν. For $a \in N$, $(\hat{\nu})^a = \widehat{\nu^a}$. We set

$$\eta_{\hat{\nu}} = \sum_{a\in E} \hat{\nu}^a.$$

This is N-invariant, and hence $\eta_{\hat{\nu}}$ is G-stable by Proposition 2. Of course $\eta_{\hat{\nu}} \downarrow_{\tilde{P}} = \eta_\nu$. Also note $\eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u) = e$ if $u \in C_P(T)$.

Proposition 3 *Let $\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}_P(\tilde{P})$. Under the above notations,*

$$1_G * \eta_{\hat{\nu}} = (e-1)1_G - \sum_{i=2}^{e} \varepsilon_i \chi_i + \varepsilon\chi_\nu,\ (\forall \nu \in \mathrm{Irr}_P(\tilde{P})) \tag{5}$$

where χ_i(resp. χ_ν) is an extension of $\tilde{\chi}_i$ (resp. $\tilde{\chi}_\nu$) to G.

Proof. Since $b(\tilde{G})$ has a cyclic defect group $\tilde{P}$ and since $C_{\tilde{G}}(u)$ is p-nilpotent for any $u \in \tilde{P}\backslash\{1\}$,

$$(*)\quad (1_{\tilde{G}}^{(1,b(\tilde{G}))}, 1_{\tilde{G}}^{(1,b(\tilde{G}))}) = 1 - \sum_{u} (1_{C_{\tilde{G}}(u)}^{(u,b(C_{\tilde{G}}(u)))}, 1_{C_{\tilde{G}}(u)}^{(u,b(C_{\tilde{G}}(u)))})$$

$$= 1 - \frac{p^n - 1}{e}\frac{1}{p^n} = \frac{(e-1)p^n + 1}{ep^n},$$

where u runs over a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of the p-elements of $\tilde{G}$. Hence we have

$$(1_G^{(1,b(G))}, 1_G^{(1,b(G))}) = (1_G^{(1,b(\tilde{G}))}, 1_G^{(1,b(\tilde{G}))})\frac{1}{|G:\tilde{G}|} = \frac{(e-1)p^n + 1}{e|P|}.$$

Let Π be a set of representatives for the N-conjugacy classes of $P\backslash\{1\}$. By Proposition 2, Π is a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of p-elements of G. Let $\Pi_1 = \{u \in \Pi \mid e_u = 1\}$ and $\Pi_2 = \Pi\backslash\Pi_1 = \{u \in \Pi \mid e_u = e\}$. Set $b_u = b(C_G(u))$ for $u \in \Pi$ and $U = \bigcup_{u\in\Pi_2} u^P$. By Lemmas 1 and 2,

$$\sum_{u\in\Pi_1} (1_G^{(u,b_u)}, 1_G^{(u,b_u)}) = \sum_{u\in\Pi_1} |C_P(u)|^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{e|P|}\sum_{u\in\Pi_1} e|P : C_P(u)| = \frac{1}{e|P|}\big(|P| - |U| - 1\big).$$

Hence we have

$$(**) \qquad \sum_{u\in\Pi_2} (1_G^{(u,b_u)}, 1_G^{(u,b_u)})$$

$$= 1 - (1_G^{(1,b(G))}, 1_G^{(1,b(G))}) - \sum_{u\in\Pi_1} (1_G^{(u,b_u)}, 1_G^{(u,b_u)})$$

$$= \frac{(e-1)|P| - (e-1)p^n + |U|}{e|P|}.$$

Since $(\eta_{\hat{\nu}}, 1_P) = 0$,

$$e + \sum_{u\in\Pi_1} \eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u)e|P : C_P(u)| + \sum_{u\in\Pi_2} \eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u)|P : C_P(u)| = 0,$$

and hence

$$(***) \qquad \sum_{u\in\Pi_1} \eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u)|C_P(u)|^{-1}$$

$$= -|P|^{-1} - \sum_{u\in\Pi_2} |C_P(u)|^{-1} = -|P|^{-1} - |P|^{-1}|U|$$

because $\eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u) = e$ for $u \in \Pi_2$. From $(*), (**)$ and $(***)$

$$(1_G * \eta_{\hat{\nu}}, 1_G)$$

$$= e(1_G^{(1,b(G))}, 1_G^{(1,b(G))}) + \sum_{u\in\Pi_1} \eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u)(1_G^{(u,b_u)}, 1_G^{(u,b_u)}) + e\sum_{u\in\Pi_2} (1_G^{(u,b_u)}, 1_G^{(u,b_u)})$$

$$= \frac{(e-1)p^n + 1}{|P|} + (-|P|^{-1} - |P|^{-1}|U|) + \frac{(e-1)|P| - (e-1)p^n + |U|}{|P|}$$

$$= e - 1.$$

From this we have

$$(1_G * \eta_{\hat{\nu}}, 1_G * \eta_{\hat{\nu}}) = (1_G * \eta_{\hat{\nu}}\eta_{\hat{\nu}^{-1}}, 1_G) = e + (e-1)^2 = e^2 - e + 1.$$

Moreover, for $\mu, \mu'(\neq) \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$(1_G * \eta_{\hat{\mu'}}, 1_G * \eta_{\hat{\mu}}) = (1_G * \eta_{\hat{\mu'}}\eta_{\hat{\mu}^{-1}}, 1_G) = e(e-1).$$

By [8], Theorem 68.1, $|\mathrm{IBr}(b(\tilde{G}))| = e < p$. Hence any irreducible Brauer character of $b(\tilde{G})$ is G-invariant, hence any $\tilde{\chi}_i$ are G-invariant by Lemma 5. On the other hand we see $(1_G * \eta_{\hat{\nu}}) \downarrow_{\tilde{G}} = 1_{\tilde{G}} * \eta_\nu$ (cf. Cabanes [5], Theorem 1), hence Lemma 6 and the above imply (5). This completes the proof. ■

We call χ_i (resp. χ_ν) in the above proposition the *canonical extension* of $\tilde{\chi}_i$ (resp. $\tilde{\chi}_\nu$). We set $\chi_1 = 1_G$ and call it the canonical extension of $\tilde{\chi}_1 = 1_{\tilde{G}}$. Since $\tilde{\chi}_i$ is p-rational from (4), χ_i is also p-rational by Proposition 3 and the uniqueness of χ_i.

Let G_1 be a subgroup of G containing $\tilde{G}$ and let $P_1 = P \cap G_1 = \tilde{P} \rtimes (C_P(T) \cap G_1)$. Then P_1 is a Sylow p-subgroup of G_1, $\tilde{P}$ is a p-hyperfocal subgroup of G_1 and G_1 also has the inertial index e. Let T be a p-complement of N. Then P_1 is normalized by T. From (3)

$$N_{G_1}(P_1) = O_{p'}(C_{G_1}(P_1))TP_1. \tag{6}$$

That is, $O_{p'}(C_{G_1}(P_1))T$ is a p-complement of $N_{G_1}(P_1)$ because $T \cap C_{G_1}(P_1) = O_{p'}(C_G(P))$. Moreover, for $\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}_P(\tilde{P})$,

$$1_{G_1} * \eta_{(\hat{\nu}\downarrow_{P_1})} = (1_G * \eta_{\hat{\nu}}) \downarrow_{G_1} .$$

Therefore the canonical extension of $\tilde{\chi}_i$ (resp. $\tilde{\chi}_\nu$) to G_1 coincides with $\chi_i \downarrow_{G_1}$ (resp. $\chi_\nu \downarrow_{G_1}$).

Let $\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(\tilde{P})$. We denote by P_ν the stabilizer of ν in P and set $G_\nu = \tilde{G}P_\nu$. Since $(\eta_\nu)^v = \eta_{\nu^v}$ and hence $(1_{\tilde{G}} * \eta_\nu)^v = 1_{\tilde{G}} * \eta_{\nu^v}$ for $v \in P$, the equation (5) implies

$$(\tilde{\chi}_\nu)^v = \tilde{\chi}_{\nu^v}.$$

Hence G_ν is the stabilizer of $\tilde{\chi}_\nu$ in G because $G = \tilde{G}P$. Since $C_P(\tilde{P}) \subseteq P_\nu$ and $P/C_P(\tilde{P})$ is a cyclic p-group isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{P})$, P_ν is normalized by N and hence G_ν is normal in G. Let $\hat{\nu}$ be the canonical extension of ν to P_ν and let χ_ν the canonical extension of $\tilde{\chi}_\nu$ to G_ν. For $\lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(P_\nu/\tilde{P})$ and for $t \in N_{p'}$, $[P_\nu, t] \subseteq [P, t] \subseteq \tilde{P}$ and hence $\lambda^t = \lambda$. Therefore $(\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda)\uparrow_{P_\nu}^P$ is N-invariant, and hence G-stable. Moreover we note that $\mathrm{Irr}(P_\nu/\tilde{P})$ can be identified with $\mathrm{Irr}(G_\nu/\tilde{G})$ through the isomorphism $P_\nu/\tilde{P} \cong G_\nu/\tilde{G}$.

Proposition 4 *Let $\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(\tilde{P})$. With the above notations, $(1_{G_\nu} * \eta_{\hat{\nu}})\lambda = 1_{G_\nu} * \eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda = (e-1)\lambda - \sum_{i=2}^{e} \varepsilon_i(\chi_i \downarrow_{G_\nu})\lambda + \varepsilon\chi_\nu\lambda$, and hence*

$$1_G * ((\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda)\uparrow_{P_\nu}^P) = (e-1)\lambda\uparrow_{G_\nu}^G - \sum_{i=2}^{e} \varepsilon_i((\chi_i \downarrow_{G_\nu})\lambda)\uparrow_{G_\nu}^G + \varepsilon(\chi_\nu\lambda)\uparrow_{G_\nu}^G \tag{7}$$

for any $\lambda \in$Irr$(P_\nu/\tilde{P}) =$Irr$(G_\nu/\tilde{G})$.

Proof. It suffices to show $1_G * ((\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda)\uparrow_{P_\nu}^P) = (1_{G_\nu} * (\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda))\uparrow_{G_\nu}^G$. Let $P = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} v_j P_\nu$ (disjoint) and let $u \in P_\nu$. Since $G = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} v_j G_\nu$ (disjoint) and P_ν is normal in P, for $\rho \in C_G(u)_{p'}$,

$$(1_{G_\nu} * (\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda))\uparrow_{G_\nu}^G (u\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} (1_{G_\nu} * (\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda))((u\rho)^{v_j})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{l} (\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda)(u^{v_j}) = ((\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda)\uparrow_{P_\nu}^{P})(u) = (1_G * ((\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda)\uparrow_{P_\nu}^{P}))(u\rho).$$

This completes the proof. ■

For ν, $\nu' \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$, $\tilde{\chi}_\nu$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{\nu'}$ are G-conjugate if and only if those are P-conjugate if and only if ν and ν' are $(P \rtimes E)$-conjugate. Since $b(G)$ is the unique block of G which covers $b(\tilde{G})$, we have the following.

Proposition 5 *Let $\mathcal{X}(\subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{X}})$ be a set of representatives for the $(P \rtimes E)$-conjugacy classes of* Irr $(\tilde{P})\backslash\{1_{\tilde{P}}\}$.

$$\mathrm{Irr}(b(G)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{e} \left\{ \chi_i\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(G/\tilde{G}) \right\} \bigcup_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}} \left\{ (\chi_\nu\lambda)\uparrow_{G_\nu}^{G} \mid \lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_\nu/\tilde{G}) \right\}.$$

3 Generalized decomposition numbers and a proof of Theorem 1

In this section we use notations in Propositions 4 and 5. For $u \in P$, set $b_u = b(C_G(u))$. We note that if $C_G(u)$ is p-nilpotent, then

$$d^u_{\chi,1_{C_G(u)}} = \chi(u) \quad (\forall \chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(b(G)).$$

Proposition 6 *Let $u \in P$ and assume $e_u = 1$. We have*

$$(\chi_i\lambda)(u) = \varepsilon_i\lambda(u),$$

$$(\chi_\nu\lambda_\nu)\uparrow_{G_\nu}^{G}(u) = \varepsilon(\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda_\nu)\uparrow_{P_\nu}^{P}(u),$$

$$(1 \le i \le e,\ \lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(P/\tilde{P}) = \mathrm{Irr}(G/\tilde{G}),\ \nu \in \mathcal{X},\ \lambda_\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(P_\nu/\tilde{P}) = \mathrm{Irr}(G_\nu/\tilde{G})).$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, $P_u = C_P(u)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $C_G(u)$. Here we show that u and u^t are not P-conjugate for any $u \in P$ with $e_u = 1$ and $t \in T\backslash O_{p'}(C_G(P))$ where T is a p-complement of N. Suppose that u and u^t are P-conjugate. Then $\langle t\rangle$ acts on u^P by conjugation. Since P acts on u^P transitively. So by a lemma of Glauberman, there is $u' \in u^P$ such that $u'^t = u'$. Hence $u^{v^{-1}tv} = u$ for some $v \in P$. This is a contradiction by Lemma 6. Set $\bar{P} = P/\tilde{P}$ and let P_ν be the stabilizer of ν in P for $\nu \in \mathcal{X}$. Moreover we set $\bar{P}_\nu = P_\nu/\tilde{P}$ and $x_i = \chi_i(u)$. We recall x_i is a rational integer. From (7), it suffices to show in order to establish the proposition

$$x_i = \varepsilon_i \ (1 \le i \le e).$$

So we can assume that $P = \langle \tilde{P}, u\rangle$, hence

$$G = \langle \tilde{G}, u\rangle.$$

From (7) again and [13], Theorem 5.4.11,

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\mathrm{Irr}(\bar{P})} |\lambda(u)|^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{e} \sum_{\lambda\in\mathrm{Irr}(\bar{P})} {x_i}^2|\lambda(u)|^2$$

$$+\sum_{\nu}\sum_{\lambda_\nu\in\mathrm{Irr}(\bar{P}_\nu)}\left|((\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda_\nu)\uparrow_{P_\nu}^{P})(u)-(e-1)(\lambda_\nu\uparrow_{P_\nu}^{P})(u)+\sum_{i=2}^{e}\varepsilon_i x_i(\lambda_\nu\uparrow_{P_\nu}^{P})(u)\right|^2$$

$$=|C_P(u)|$$

where ν runs over a set $\{\nu\in\mathcal{X}\mid P_\nu=P\}$. Hence

$$1+\sum_{i=2}^{e}{x_i}^2+\sum_{\nu}\left|\eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u)-(e-1)+\sum_{i=2}^{e}\varepsilon_i x_i\right|^2=|C_{\tilde{P}}(u)| \tag{8}$$

where ν runs over $\{\nu\in\mathcal{X}\mid P_\nu=P\}$. Set $p^{n'}=|C_{\tilde{P}}(u)|$. By Brauer's permutation lemma ([13], Lemma 3.2.18, (i)), the numbers of P-invariant linear characters of $\tilde{P}$ is equal to $|C_{\tilde{P}}(u)|$. Let $\mathcal{X}_1=\{\nu\in\mathcal{X}\mid \nu\in\mathrm{Irr}_P(\tilde{P})\}$. We have $|\mathcal{X}_1|=\frac{p^{n'}-1}{e}$. Set $m=\frac{p^{n'}-1}{e}$.

By the second orthogonality relation for P and the fact that u and u^t are not P-conjugate for any $u\in P$ with $e_u=1$, and for any $t\in T\backslash O_{p'}(C_G(P))$, we can show $\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1}|\eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u)|^2=p^{n'}-e$. Moreover by the definition of $\hat{\nu}$ and the fact $u\notin C_P(E)$ we see $\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1}\eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u)=-1$. In fact by using the second orthogonality relations for P

$$|P/\tilde{P}|\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1}|\eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u)|^2=\sum_{t'\in E}\Big(\sum_{t\in E}\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1}\sum_{\lambda\in\mathrm{Irr}(P/\tilde{P})}\hat{\nu}^t(u^{-1})\hat{\nu}^t(u^{t'})\lambda(u^{-1})\lambda(u)$$

$$+\sum_{t\in E}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{X}\backslash\mathcal{X}_1}\sum_{\lambda_\mu}(\hat{\mu}\uparrow_{P_\mu}^{P})^t(u^{-1})(\hat{\mu}\uparrow_{P_\mu}^{P})^t(u^{t'})\lambda_\mu(u^{-1})\lambda_\mu(u)\Big)=|C_P(u)|-|P/\tilde{P}|e,$$

where λ_μ runs over $\mathrm{Irr}(P)/X_\mu$, X_μ is the kernel of the restriction map $\mathrm{Irr}(P/\tilde{P})\to\mathrm{Irr}(P_\mu/\tilde{P})$, because u and $u^{t'}$ are not P-conjugate if $t'\neq 1$. We also note $\lambda(u^{t'})=\lambda(u)$. Thus we obtain $\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1}|\eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u)|^2=p^{n'}-e$. Next we show $\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1}\eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u)=-1$. Write $u=\tilde{u}r$ ($\tilde{u}\in\tilde{P}$, $r\in C_P(E)$). Then $P=\langle\tilde{P},r\rangle$. $[\tilde{P},P]=[\tilde{P},r]=\langle[\tilde{P},r]\rangle$, $r^P=[\tilde{P},r]r$. Since $e_u=1$ and hence $u\notin r^P$, $\tilde{u}\notin[\tilde{P},P]$. By the second orthogonality relation for $P/[\tilde{P},P]\cong(\tilde{P}/[P,\tilde{P}])\times C_P(E)$,

$$0=\sum_{t\in E}\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1}\sum_{\lambda\in\mathrm{Irr}(P/\tilde{P})}(\hat{\nu}^t\cdot\lambda)(\tilde{u})+\sum_{\lambda\in\mathrm{Irr}(P/\tilde{P})}\lambda(\tilde{u})$$

$$=|P/\tilde{P}|\Big(\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1}\eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u)+1\Big).$$

Therefore we have $\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1}\eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u)=-1$.
Now from (8),

$$p^{n'}-1-\sum_{i=2}^{e}{x_i}^2=\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1}\left|\eta_{\widehat{\nu}}(u)-(e-1)+\sum_{i=2}^{e}\varepsilon_i x_i\right|^2. \tag{9}$$

$$\text{The left hand of (9)}=p^{n'}-e-\sum_{i=2}^{e}\left({x_i}^2-1\right).$$

$$\text{The right hand of (9)}=\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1}\left|\eta_{\widehat{\nu}}(u)+\sum_{i=2}^{e}(\varepsilon_i x_i-1)\right|^2$$

$$= \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1} |\eta_{\widehat{\nu}}(u)|^2 + \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1} \left(\eta_{\widehat{\nu}}(u) + \overline{\eta_{\widehat{\nu}}(u)}\right) \sum_{i=2}^{e} (\varepsilon_i x_i - 1) + \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}_1} \left(\sum_{i=2}^{e} (\varepsilon_i x_i - 1)\right)^2$$

$$= p^{n'} - e - 2\sum_{i=2}^{e} (\varepsilon_i x_i - 1) + m\left(\sum_{i=2}^{e} (\varepsilon_i x_i - 1)\right)^2.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i=2}^{e} \left({x_i}^2 - 1\right) - 2\sum_{i=2}^{e} (\varepsilon_i x_i - 1) + m\left(\sum_{i=2}^{e} (\varepsilon_i x_i - 1)\right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^{e} \left(\left({x_i}^2 - 1\right) - 2(\varepsilon_i x_i - 1)\right) + m\left(\sum_{i=2}^{e} (\varepsilon_i x_i - 1)\right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^{e} \left({x_i}^2 - 2\varepsilon_i x_i + 1\right) + m\left(\sum_{i=2}^{e} (\varepsilon_i x_i - 1)\right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^{e} (\varepsilon_i x_i - 1)^2 + m\left(\sum_{i=2}^{e} (\varepsilon_i x_i - 1)\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\varepsilon_i x_i - 1 = 0 \therefore x_i = \varepsilon_i \ (2 \le i \le e).$$

This completes the proof. ■

Since $|\mathrm{IBr}(b(\tilde{G}))| = e < p$ and $G/\tilde{G}$ is a p-group, $|\mathrm{IBr}(b(G))| = e$. In particular if $e_u = e$ where $u \in P$, then $l(b_u) = e$.

Proposition 7 *Let $u \in P$ and assume $e_u = e$. The matrix of generalized decomposition numbers of $b(G)$ with respect to a suitable basic set $\{\varphi_1^{(u)} = 1_{C_G(u)}, \varphi_2^{(u)}, \cdots, \varphi_e^{(u)}\}$ for b_u is of the form :*

	$\varphi_1^{(u)} = 1_{C_G(u)}$	$\varphi_2^{(u)}$	$\cdots$	$\varphi_e^{(u)}$
$\chi_1\lambda_1$	$\varepsilon_1\lambda_1(u)$	0	$\cdots$	0
$\chi_2\lambda_2$	0	$\varepsilon_2\lambda_2(u)$	0	0
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$
$\chi_e\lambda_e$	0	0	$\cdots$	$\varepsilon_e\lambda_e(u)$
$(\chi_\nu\lambda_\nu)\uparrow_{G_\nu}^{G}$	$\varepsilon(\lambda_\nu\uparrow_{P_\nu}^{P})(u)$	$\varepsilon(\lambda_\nu\uparrow_{P_\nu}^{P})(u)$	$\cdots$	$\varepsilon(\lambda_\nu\uparrow_{P_\nu}^{P})(u)$

where $\lambda_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(P/\tilde{P}) = \mathrm{Irr}(G/\tilde{G})$, $\nu \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\lambda_\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(P_\nu/\tilde{P}) = \mathrm{Irr}(G_\nu/\tilde{G})$.

Proof. By Lemmas 2 and 3, $P_u = C_P(u)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $C_G(u)$, $u \in C_P(T)$ for some p-complement T of N and $C_{\tilde{P}}(u)$ is a p-hyperfocal subgroup of $C_G(u)$. Moreover $l(b_u) = e$ as is stated in the above. Set $p^{n'} = |C_{\tilde{P}}(u)|$ and $m = \frac{p^{n'}-1}{e}$. Now for $\nu \in \mathcal{X}$, the stabilizer P_ν of ν in P is normalized by N. If $u \notin P_\nu$, $\eta_\nu\uparrow_{P_\nu}^{P}(u) = 0$ and $\chi_\nu\uparrow_{G_\nu}^{G}(u\rho) = 0$ for any $\rho \in C_G(u)_{p'}$. Recall that if $u \in P_\nu$, then $\eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u) = e$ (see (6) for $G_1 = G_\nu$). So from (7) in Proposition 4, in order to establish the proposition, it suffices to show for any χ_i

$$d^u_{\chi_i,\varphi_j^{(u)}} = \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_i \tag{10}$$

for a suitable basic set $\{\varphi_j^{(u)} \mid 1 \le j \le e\}$ for b_u, where $\varphi_1^{(u)} = 1_{C_G(u)}$. Hence we may assume

$$G = \langle \tilde{G}, u \rangle = \tilde{G} \rtimes \langle u \rangle.$$

(cf. Lemma 2) Set $\bar{C}_G(u) = C_G(u)/\langle u \rangle = (C_{\tilde{G}}(u) \times \langle u \rangle)/\langle u \rangle \cong C_{\tilde{G}}(u)$, $\bar{b}_u = b(\bar{C}_G(u))$ and $\overline{C_P(u)} = C_P(u)/\langle u \rangle \cong C_{\tilde{P}}(u)$. Then $\bar{b}_u$ has a cyclic defect group $\bar{P}$, and $\bar{b}_u$ has an inertial index e. By [4], Theorem 5.3, there is a perfect isometry from $\bar{b}_u$ to $b(N_{\bar{C}_G(u)}(\bar{P}))$ which maps $1_{\bar{C}_G(u)}$ to $1_{N_{\bar{C}_G(u)}(\bar{P})}$. Hence by [4], Theorem 1.5, there exists a basic set $Bs(\bar{b}_u) = \{\varphi_j^{(u)} \mid 1 \le j \le e\}$, where $\varphi_1^{(u)} = 1_{\bar{C}_G(u)}$, such that the Cartan matrix of $\bar{b}_u$ with respect to $Bs(\bar{b}_u)$ is of the form

$$C^{\bar{b}_u} = \begin{pmatrix} m+1 & m & \cdots & m \\ m & m+1 & \cdots & m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ m & m & \cdots & m+1 \end{pmatrix}_{e \times e}.$$

Let C^{b_u} be the Cartan matrix of b_u with respect to $Bs(\bar{b}_u)$ which is regarded as a basic set for b_u. We have $C^{b_u} = |\langle u \rangle| C^{\bar{b}_u}$ by [13], Theorem 5.8.11. Let

$$D = \left(d^u_{\chi\varphi_j^{(u)}}\right)_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(b(G)), \varphi_j^{(u)} \in Bs(\bar{b}_u)}$$

be the matrix of generalized decomposition numbers of $b(G)$ with respect to $Bs(\bar{b}_u)$. Then we have

$$C^{b_u} = {}^t D \overline{D}. \tag{11}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 6, let $\mathcal{X}_1 = \{\nu \in \mathcal{X} \mid \nu \in \mathrm{Irr}_P(\tilde{P})\}$. We have $|\mathcal{X}_1| = m$. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{X}_1$. Recalling $\eta_{\hat{\nu}}(u) = e$, from (7),

$$e d^u_{\chi_1\varphi_j^{(u)}} = (e-1) d^u_{\chi_1\varphi_j^{(u)}} - \sum_{k=2}^{e} \varepsilon_k d^u_{\chi_k\varphi_j^{(u)}} + \varepsilon d^u_{\chi_\nu\varphi_j^{(u)}},$$

$$\therefore \quad \varepsilon d^u_{\chi_\nu\varphi_j^{(u)}} = \sum_{k=1}^{e} \varepsilon_k d^u_{\chi_k\varphi_j^{(u)}}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, e$. Note that these are all integers. Since $0 \not\equiv \chi_\nu(1) \equiv \chi_\nu(u) \pmod{\mathcal{P}}$ where $\mathcal{P}$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$, $\chi_\nu(u) \neq 0$. In particular $d^u_{\chi_\nu\varphi_j^{(u)}} \neq 0$ for some j. The same holds for χ_i. Now set

$$X_{kj} = \varepsilon_k d^u_{\chi_k\varphi_j^{(u)}} \ (1 \le k, j \le e).$$

Then $X_{11} = 1$, $X_{1j} = 0 \ \ (2 \le j \le e)$. Moreover $\varepsilon d^u_{\chi_\nu\varphi_j^{(u)}} = \sum_{k=1}^{e} X_{kj}$ for $\nu \in \mathcal{X}_1$. So we can see from (11),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{e} X_{ki}X_{kj} + m\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{e} X_{ki}\Big)\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{e} X_{kj}\Big) = \begin{cases} m+1 \ (i=j) \\ m \ (i \neq j). \end{cases}$$

Therefore if $m \ge 2$, then $X_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ by rearranging $\varphi_j^{(u)}$ $(j \ge 2)$. But if $m = 1$, by taking another suitable basic set $\{\varphi'^{(u)}_j \mid 1 \le j \le e\}$ for b_u where $\varphi'^{(u)}_1 = 1_{C_G(u)}$ if necessary,

$\varepsilon_i d^u_{\chi_i \varphi'^{(u)}_j} = \delta_{ij}$ with respect to $\{\varphi'^{(u)}_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq e\}$. Thus we get (10). This completes the proof. ■

Proof of Theorem 1. It is easily seen that $\tilde{P}$ is a hyperfocal subgroup of N and $N_G(\tilde{P})$ by Proposition 2 and Proposition 1. Let $\tilde{N} = O^p(N) = N \cap \tilde{G}$ and $N_\nu = N \cap G_\nu$ for $\nu \in \mathcal{X}$. Then Propositions 3-7 hold for $b(N)$. In fact there exist e irreducible characters $\chi'_i \in b(N)$ and χ'_ν of $b(N_\nu)$ corresponding to $\nu \in \mathcal{X}$ such that for $\lambda_\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(P_\nu/\tilde{P}) = \mathrm{Irr}(N_\nu/\tilde{N})$,

$$1_{N_\nu} * (\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda_\nu) = (e-1)\lambda_\nu - \sum_{i=2}^{e}(\chi'_i{\downarrow_{N_\nu}}\ \lambda_\nu) + \ \chi'_\nu\lambda_\nu,$$

and hence

$$1_N * ((\eta_{\hat{\nu}}\lambda_\nu)\uparrow^P_{P_\nu}) = (e-1)(\lambda_\nu\uparrow^N_{N_\nu}) - \sum_{i=2}^{e}(\chi'_i{\downarrow_{N_\nu}}\lambda_\nu)\uparrow^N_{N_\nu} + (\chi'_\nu\lambda_\nu)\uparrow^N_{N_\nu}\ .$$

By Proposition 5 for N, $\mathrm{Irr}(b(N)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{e}\left\{\chi'_i\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(N/\tilde{N})\right\}\bigcup_{\nu\in\mathcal{X}}\left\{(\chi'_\nu\lambda_\nu)\uparrow^N_{N_\nu} \mid \lambda_\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(N_\nu/\tilde{N})\right\}$ and by Theorem 2,

$$\begin{cases} \chi'_i\lambda \mapsto \varepsilon_i\chi_i\lambda \\ (\chi'_\nu\lambda_\nu)\uparrow^N_{N_\nu} \mapsto \varepsilon(\chi'_\nu\lambda_\nu)\uparrow^G_{G_\nu} \end{cases}$$

gives a perfect isometry from $b(N)$ to $b(G)$. Similarly $b(G)$ and $b(N_G(\tilde{P}))$ also are perfect isometric. ■

References

[1] R. Brauer, Some applications of the theory of blocks of characters of finite groups I, J. Algebra, **1**(1964), 152-167.

[2] C. Broto, N. Castellan, J. Grodal, R. Levi and B. Oliver, Extensions of p-local finite groups, Trans. A. M. S., **359**(2007), 3791-3858.

[3] M. Broué and L. Puig, Characters and local structure in G-algebras, J. Algebra, **63**(1980), 306-317.

[4] M. Broué, Isométries parfaites, types de blocs, catégories dérivées, *Astérisque*, **181-182**(1990), 61-92.

[5] M. Cabanes, Extensions of p-groups and construction of characters, Commun. in Algebra, **15(6)** (1987), 1297-1311.

[6] D. A. Craven, "The Theory of Fusion Systems", Cambridge studies in Advanced mathematics, **131**, 2011.

[7] E. C. Dade, Blocks with cyclic defect groups, Ann. Math. **84**(1966), 20-48.

[8] L. Dornhoff, "Group Representation Theory, Part B", Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.

[9] D. Gorenstein, "Finite Groups", Harper and Row, New York, 1968.

[10] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons and R. Solomon, The classification of the finite simple groups, No.1, Math. Surveys and Monographs, AMS, 1996.

[11] I.M. Isaacs, Character theory of finite groups. Academic Press, New York, 1976.

[12] M. Holloway, S. Koshitani and N. Kunugi, Blocks with non-abelian defect groups which have cyclic subgroups of ondex p, Arch. Math. **94**(2010), 101-116.

[13] H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima, "Representation Theory of Finite Groups", Academic Press, Boston, 1989.

[14] G. Navarro, " Characters and Blocks of Finite Groups", London Math. Soc., LNS **250**, 1998.

[15] T. Okuyama and A. Watanabe, On the Brauer category of a block with abelian hyperfocal subgroup.

[16] L. Puig, The hyperfocal subalgebra of a block, Invent. math., **141**(2000), 365-397.

[17] L. Puig, "Blocks of Finite Groups", The hyperfocal subalgebra of a block, Springer, Berlin, 2002.

[18] R. Rouquier, Block theory via stable and Rickard equivalences, Modular representation theory of finite groups (Charlottesville, VA, 1998), 101-146, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2001.

[19] H. Sasaki, The mod p cohomology algebras of finite groups with metacyclic Sylow p-subgroups, J. Alg., **192**(1997), 713-733.

[20] J. Thévenaz, "G-Algebras and Modular Representation Theory", Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1955.

[21] A. Watanabe, On blocks of finite groups with central hyperfocal subgroups, J. Algebra, **368**(2012), 358-375.

[22] A. Watanabe, The number of irreducible Brauer characters in a p-block of a finite group with cyclic hyperfocal subgroup, J. Algebra, **416**(2014), 167-183.

National Institute of Technology, Kumamoto College, 2659-2, Suya, Koushi, Kumamoto 861-1102, Japan
E-mail : hori@kumamoto-nct.ac.jp

Kumamoto University, 1-16-37, Toroku, Chuo-ku, Kumamoto 862-0970, Japan
E-mail : qqsh2tn9kq@io.ocn.ne.jp